



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/687,840 | 10/20/2003 | Yung-Shun Chuang | VER 125 | 9893 |
| . 75 | 90 06/21/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| RABIN & BERDO, P.C. | | | KIM, HAROLD J | |
| Suite 500 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1101 14 Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 | | | 2182 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u>`</u> | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/687,840 | CHUANG, YUNG-SHUN | | | |
| omee Action Cummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication con | Harold Kim | 2182 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju | ıne 2005. | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, | prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 | , 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| American (a) | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (P10-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | Paper No(s)/Ma | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/687,840

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-3 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is contains the trademark/trade name VIA 6868 and Intel 815. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a chipset and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim, USPGPUB No. US 2002/0135605.

5. In re claim 1, Kim shows a method of changing EDID of a memory of a motherboard in response to replacing an LCD panel of a computer with a different one [S1, S15 in fig 3; paragraph 0031], comprising the steps of:

detecting a chipset [S1, fig 3; paragraph 0031, line 12];

reading a SM bus base or GPIO (General Purpose Input Output) base [S1, fig 3; paragraph 0031, line 12];

activating a writing mechanism [S5 to S15, fig 3];

selecting compatible EDID [S5 to S13, fig 3];

writing the EDID into the memory [S15];

detecting an error [S11 and S13]; and

closing the writing mechanism [S15 and END in fig 3].

6. In re claim 2, Kim shows the EDID comprises a plurality parameters adapted to change [P7, S9 in fig 4].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim, USPGPUB No. US 2002/0135605, as applied to claims above.

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

In re claim 3, Kim does not show the step of determining whether the chipset is a VIA 6868 or Intel 815. However, VIA 6868 and Intel 815 are just one of many chipsets that are used in the art of computer and determining those chipsets are very well known in the art and necessary to configure the computer system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to recognize that the step of determining whether the chipset is a VIA 6868 or Intel 815in the Kim's system because it is well known in the art of computer, and necessary to configure the computer system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The centralized fax number is 703 872-9306.

The centralized hand carry paper drop off location is:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop ______

Randolph Building

Art Unit: 2182

401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the central telephone number (571) 272-2100.

Direct any inquiries concerning drawing review to the Drawing Review Branch (703) 305-8404.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/687,840

Art Unit: 2182

HA Harold J. Kim

Patent Examiner

June 13, 2005/HK

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100